

## Task:

Many museums charge for admission while others are free.

Do you think the advantages of charging people for admission to museums outweigh the disadvantages?

Museums are known as the top ranking places for visiting. Some assert that **admittance** should be free of charge while others claim that fees for entrance permission is a must. My personal conviction is that it would be far/much excessively better if charge for admission were applied.

Firstly, the majority of museums collect possessions each of which is priceless/invaluable are worth more than visitors' belongings, so not only should they have high security services, but also be required to provide instruments to avoid destruction of spoiling objects. Meanwhile, money plays a vital role in their life in order to meet the minimum standards.

Secondly, I claim that **admittance** is working as a filter. I believe that by this policy we disallowed(deny) museums to ordinary people who just like to spend their free time there and on the other hand pave the way for fans of art and history to visit such a places in a professional atmosphere. In addition, by these revenues museums' managers will be able to provide facilities regarding more researches besides supporting the art and history generally.

In spite of these arguments, definitely there would be some benefits if governments made the museum visiting free of charge.s' complementary entrance. With By this trend we will have more enthusiastic individuals who are eager to go sightseeing. Some believe that this is a kind of cultural activityies and unconsciously raises the cultural awareness among the citizens.

To put it in a nutshell, I firmly hold the opinion that although some benefits lie in the free entrance fees for museums, the drawbacks of that decision outweigh the advantages.